15 EXAMINATION OF CHECKWRITER MACHINES	Page 1 of 2
Division of Forensic Science	Amendment Designator:
QUESTIONED DOCUMENTS PROCEDURES MANUAL	Effective Date: 1-April-2003

## 15 EXAMINATION OF CHECKWRITER MACHINES

# 15.1 Objective

To determine whether two (or more) impressions were prepared with the same checkwriter; or to determine whether a specific impression was prepared with a particular checkwriter.

### 15.2 References

- Harrison, Wilson R.; Suspect Documents (Second Edition); Sweet & Maxwell Ltd., 1966
- Ellen, David; The Scientific Examination of Documents (Second Edition); Taylor & Francis Ltd., 1997
- Hilton, Ordway; Scientific Examination of Questioned Documents (Revised Edition); Elsevier, 1982
- Vastrick, T., "Classification and Identification of Checkwriters", ABFDE monograph, Houston, TX, 1991
- Hargett, J.W. & Dusak, R.A, "A Compilation of Research on the Checkwriter Industry for the Purpose of Classification and Identification", 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the AAFS, San Diego, CA, 1977
- Crane, Adrian, "Identification of Ridge and Groove Cheque Protectors by Platen Ridge Defects", CSFS, Vol. 20, No. 1, March 1987
- Vastrick, T.W. & Smith, E.J., "Checkwriter Identification Individuality", JFS, Vol. 27, No.1, January, 1982

#### 15.3 Equipment

- Stereo microscope
- Light source of such a design to allow for oblique lighting
- Transmitted light box
- Magnifier

### 15.4 Procedures

- 15.4.1 These procedures may not address all aspects of any uncommon or unusual circumstances encountered during examinations.
- 15.4.2 The procedures outlined below may not be possible or necessary in each and every case.
- 15.4.3 Establish that the submitted impression(s) were prepared on a checkwriter, and not the result of some other process made to resemble a checkwriter impression (e.g. hand drawn).
- 15.4.4 Establish whether the examination will be a comparison of exclusively questioned impressions; a comparison of a questioned impression(s) with a known impression(s); or a comparison of a questioned impression(s) with a checkwriter machine.
- 15.4.5 Evaluate the suitability of the submitted questioned impression(s) for comparison. Factors affecting suitability include clarity, detail, degree of inking, and general condition of the document.
- 15.4.6 Evaluate the suitability of any known impressions submitted for comparison.

15 EXAMINATION OF CHECKWRITER MACHINES	Page 2 of 2
Division of Forensic Science	Amendment Designator:
QUESTIONED DOCUMENTS PROCEDURES MANUAL	Effective Date: 1-April-2003

- 15.4.7 If a checkwriter machine is submitted the following may be applicable.
  - 15.4.7.1 Note its general condition (e.g. damaged).
  - 15.4.7.2 Note the settings, particularly the amount the machine is set to imprint.
  - 15.4.7.3 Prepare appropriate specimens, as needed, and evaluate their suitability for comparison. (**Note**: Begin without changing any machine settings, then change as necessary to obtain appropriate specimens for comparison.)
- 15.4.8 Conduct appropriate side-by-side comparison (questioned impression to questioned impression, questioned impression to known impression, or questioned impression to the checkwriter machine using the specimens prepared in 15.4.7.3, above).
  - 15.4.8.1 Compare the class characteristics (e.g. impression format, typeface design and size, printing element characters, prefix, payee perforator, platen impressions, inking system). (**Note**: Prefixes in some machines are removable and interchangeable.)
  - 15.4.8.2 Compare any individual characteristics in common (e.g. wear and damage defects, perforation patterns, misalignments, reproducible blemishes, ribbon shift, impression voids, improper inking, extraneous inking, individual prefix features).
- 15.4.9 Evaluate the significance of any similarities, dissimilarities, or limitations observed in 15.4.8.1 and 15.4.8.2, and form a conclusion.

**◆**End